UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE: NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2419

Master Docket: 1:13-md-2419-RWZ

v.

This Document Relates To:

All Cases.

DECLARATION OF PETER G. HERMES IN SUPPORT OF SECOND MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

- I, Peter G. Hermes, on oath depose and state as follows:
- 1. I am a principal and founding member of the law firm of Hermes, Netburn, O'Connor & Spearing, P.C. ("HNOS"). HNOS is counsel to Liberty Industries, Inc. ("Liberty") in connection with the multi-district litigation known as *New England Compounding Pharmacy Products Liability Litigation*, Case No. 1:13-md-2419-RWZ ("MDL"), and all individuals cases related to the MDL action.
- 2. Liberty filed *Defendant Liberty Industries, Inc.'s Omnibus Motion for Summary Judgment in Cases Filed by Plaintiffs Injected in Indiana* and *Defendant Liberty Industries, Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of its Omnibus Motion for Summary Judgment in Cases Filed by Plaintiffs Injected in Indiana* ("Omnibus Motion"). In support of Liberty's Omnibus Motion, Liberty included statements of fact supported primarily by documents produced in connection with the MDL litigation, and attached those documents as exhibits as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) and Local Rule 56.1 ("Exhibits").
- 3. Certain Exhibits, and the information derived therefrom, were produced with the designation of Confidential Discovery Material pursuant to the Third Amended Protective Order

of Confidentiality [Dkt. No. 814] ("Protective Order"). This designation required Liberty to confer with the parties that produced the Confidential Discovery Material, identify what documents Liberty intended to use as an Exhibit, and determine whether the producing party still considered the document to be Confidential Discovery Material. If so, Liberty was required to file redacted copies of the documents with the designation, and file a motion to file under seal together with the unredacted documents.

- 4. The Exhibits are largely documents produced in connection with this litigation by Liberty, the Chapter 11 Trustee of the New England Compounding Pharmacy Bankruptcy ("Trustee"), and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC").
- 5. Liberty no longer designates as Confidential Discovery Material those documents it produced that are attached to the *Affidavit of Jeffrey Erickson* as Exhibits 1 7 in support of Liberty's Omnibus Motion. As such, these documents need not be filed in redacted form and they are not subject to the Motion to File Under Seal.
- 6. On September 3, 2014, I contacted counsel for the Trustee to confer pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 and the Protective Order, ¶ 12(a), and identified documents produced by the Trustee that Liberty intends to use as Exhibits to determine whether the Trustee believed said documents constituted Confidential Discovery Material. Liberty identified the following documents:
 - NECC_MDL000005160-5161, 5167-5169, and 13151 (Exhibit D);
 - NECC_MDL000005092 (Exhibit E);
 - NECC MDL000029314 (Exhibit F);
 - NECC MDL000027735-27736, 27806-27814, and 27998-28008 (Exhibit I); and
 - NECC MDL000005179-5182, 5115-5120, 5173-5178 (Exhibit J).

- 7. On September 8, 2014, the Trustee responded and indicated that he believed in good faith that only certain documents Exhibits D and J constituted or contained Confidential Discovery Material that must be filed in redacted form pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order. The Trustee no longer asserts that the documents attached as Exhibits E, F, and I are Confidential Discovery Material.
- 8. On September 3, 2014, I contacted counsel for the PSC to confer pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 and the Protective Order. I identified documents produced by the PSC that Liberty intends to use as Exhibits to determine whether the PSC believed said documents constituted Confidential Discovery Material. On September 8, 2014, my associate, Dianne E. Ricardo, contacted counsel for the PSC and identified additional documents produced by the PSC that Liberty intends to use as Exhibits. The following documents produced by the PSC were identified as documents Liberty intended to utilize in support of its Omnibus Motion:
 - 000001, 000218-247 (Exhibit B); and
 - PSC_Inspec_000048-79 (Exhibit K).
- 9. The PSC has not responded as of this date to Liberty's invitation to confer, and therefore certain documents produced by the PSC and identified by Liberty as documents to be filed in support of Liberty's Omnibus Motion (Exhibit K) will retain their designation as Confidential Discovery Material pursuant to the Protective Order, ¶ 12(a).
- 10. On September 30, 2014 my associate, Dianne E. Ricardo, contacted counsel for Scientific Air Analysis to confer regarding the use of the documents attached as Exhibit B to the Omnibus Motion. Counsel for SAA agreed to waive the confidential designation of these materials.

11. Accordingly, Liberty filed its Omnibus Motion in partially redacted form so as to prevent the dissemination of information and documents designated as Confidential Discovery Material. Certain statements of fact contained in *Defendant Liberty Industries, Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of its Omnibus Motion for Summary Judgment in Cases Filed by Plaintiffs Injected in Indiana*, and in the *Affidavit of Jeffrey Erickson* are redacted, as are the documents designated by the producing party as Confidential Discovery Material (Exhibits D, J, and K).

12. For ease of reference, unredacted copies of <u>all</u> of the papers filed in support of Liberty's Omnibus Motion are submitted herewith by hand delivery to the Court for review in connection with *Defendant Liberty Industries, Inc.'s Second Motion to File Under Seal Documents In Support of Summary Judgment Pursuant to the Third Amended Protective Order of Confidentiality.*

SIGNED UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THIS 6^{th} DAY OF OCTOBER, 2014.

/s/ Peter G. Hermes
Peter G. Hermes

G:\DOCS\DER\Cases\Great American\Liberty Industries\MSJ\Dec ISO MTN File Under Seal. Omnibus Indiana MSJ.final.docx